

# Rishan paper

*by* Rishan K

---

**Submission date:** 27-Apr-2023 11:05PM (UTC-0400)

**Submission ID:** 2077839079

**File name:** Karangan2023.pdf (830.05K)

**Word count:** 4266

**Character count:** 20507

# Power Transmission Optimization Using Synchronous Condenser Incorporated with Hybrid Particle Swarm Pattern Search Algorithm

4 Rishan Karangana  
Department of Electrical Engineering  
Hasanuddin University  
Makassar, Indonesia  
rishankarangana@gmail.com

Rhiza S. Sadjadj  
Department of Electrical Engineering  
Hasanuddin University  
Makassar, Indonesia  
rhiza@unhas.ac.id

Ardiaty Arief  
Department of Electrical Engineering  
Hasanuddin University  
Makassar, Indonesia  
ORCID: 0000-0002-1721-3948

12 Muhammad Bachtiar Nappu  
Department of Electrical Engineering  
Hasanuddin University  
Makassar, Indonesia  
ORCID: 0000-0003-0406-5971

11  
**Abstract**—The synchronous condenser (SC) was tested in a study to improve performance of the power transmission in the South Sulawesi Electric Power System model, in Indonesia, a multi-engine power system, using a hybrid of particle swarm optimization (PSO) and pattern search (PS) algorithms. This method employs MATLAB and DigSILENT, which is linked by an automated data exchange protocol. Power flow calculations are performed using DigSILENT, and the particle swarm pattern search optimization (PSPSO) algorithm is implemented using MATLAB. This method has been shown through power flow calculation to minimize losses on the transmission line and enhance voltage profile, ensuring power grid stability.

**Keywords**— power optimization; synchronous condenser; artificial algorithm; MATLAB; DigSILENT

## I. INTRODUCTION

Due to global environmental concerns on earth, coal-fired power plants (CFPP) and diesel-fired power plants (DFPP) have been converted in recent years to renewable energy generation, such as solar power plants (SPP) and wind power plants (WPP), that's called clean energy [1]. Despite having a significant impact on improving environmental conditions, the discontinuation of several CFPP and DFPP coupled with an increase in the number of SPP and WPP creates a new issue for electricity providers and regulators. For instance, a decrease in the transmission system's level of reliability, caused by a decrease in system inertia, which results in frequency instability, and a decrease in the network's short-circuit power [2]. Similar conditions exist in Indonesia, where the State-owned Electricity Company or in Indonesian, *Perusahaan Listrik Negara* (PLN), the sole electricity regulator, has not yet closed its CFPPs, but many diesel generators (DFPPs) have been laid off. With the increased development of SPP and WPP, system operators are now experiencing system instability issues as a result of reduced inertia and all of its derivative effects [3].

Up to this point, the problem of system instability caused by a lack of reactive power supply as a result of the proliferation of renewable energy plants has been addressed through the use of capacitor bank [4] and various flexible alternating current transmission system (FACTS) equipment

such as static VAR compensator (SVC), and static synchronous compensator (STATCOM). However, such equipment is incapable of tackling the problem of system inertia [5]. One of the major drawbacks of using FACTS equipment is the emergence of harmonics, which reduces transmission system efficiency since harmonics cause overcurrent, extra losses, and noise in the telecommunications system [6]. With these considerations, synchronous condenser (SC) technology has been reborn, and its use to produce and absorb reactive power, increase power system inertia, and increase short-circuit current capacity without causing harmonics has been studied [2], [3], [5], [7]–[10].

SCs have been used in a variety of countries around the world, but this tool has yet to be implemented in Indonesia's electricity system, which is managed by PLN. As a result, when this tool is integrated into the system, it will be classified as a pioneer of innovation, given that special arrangements must always be made based on the very diverse characteristics of each electrical transmission system. This includes the test system, the Southern Sulawesi transmission system, which has its own set of characteristics and grid code.

To achieve the best results in this study, researchers will determine the placement of SCs in DigSILENT software using the Newton Raphson method and then optimize MATLAB software using a combination method of the particle swarm optimization (PSO) and pattern search (PS) algorithm. The utilization of DigSILENT and MATLAB softwares has been shown to produce excellent results [11]. In the realm of artificial algorithms, the PSO optimization method has been shown to provide the best quality solution with the fewest iterations. In fact, PSO has shorter computational times and can be applied to real-world power grid scenarios [12], [13]. The local optimization trap, which is a significant weakness of PSO, will be controlled by employing a second algorithm, namely PS algorithm. The PS algorithm's flexibility allows it to be integrated with heuristic algorithms such as PSO to perform global searches without the need for gradients and objective functions. The particle swarm pattern search optimization (PSPSO) algorithm will be created by combining these two methods.

## II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

### A. Power System Model

The system used for analysis in this approach is the Southern Sulawesi power transmission system, as in Fig. 1 which is introduced for power network optimization studies. DigSILENT PowerFactory v15.1 is the tool used for modeling the power system and controllers for practical reasons. This software has a user interface and provides a simple alternative for power system modeling. DigSILENT also provides the option of analyzing power system performance via the load flow calculation module.




Fig. 1. Geographical map of the Southern Sulawesi Power Transmission System, based on report in [14]

### B. Active Power Losses Objective Function

The primary purpose of the power grid optimization performed in this study is to reduce active power losses. The total sum of active power losses can be calculated using equation, as in (1).

$$F_{loss} = \sum_{k=1}^N g_k [V_{1,k}^2 + V_{2,k}^2 - 2V_{1,k}V_{2,k} \cos(\theta_{1,k} - \theta_{2,k})] \quad (1)$$

The power transmission line conductance between starting and terminating buses is denoted by  $g_k$ .  $V_{1,k}$  and  $V_{2,k}$  are the starting and ending bus voltage magnitudes.  $\theta_{1,k}$  and  $\theta_{2,k}$  are the voltage angles of the starting and terminating buses.  $N$  denotes the number of transmission lines.

### C. Voltage Deviation Constraint

In this approach, the reduction of voltage deviation is another important goal. Electrical equipment is built to perform best at its nominal voltage. Any deviation from the nominal voltage can reduce electrical equipment's overall effectiveness and longevity. The goal of voltage deviation constraint is to improve power system voltage profiles by minimizing the sum of voltage deviations at load buses. The voltage deviation constraint can be defined as accumulating the least amount of voltage deviation at each load bus. The following is the definition of this function:

$$V_D = \sum_{j=1}^M |V_j - V_j^{ref}| \quad (2)$$

$V_j$  is actual voltage of  $j$ th load bus.  $V_j^{ref}$  is ideal voltage of  $j$ th load bus.  $M$  is number of load buses.

### D. Voltage Stability Index

For simplicity, the voltage stability index can be defined as the ratio  $V/V_0$ , where  $V$  is the voltage magnitude of all PQ buses when loaded and  $V_0$  is the voltage magnitude of all PQ buses when not loaded. A voltage stability diagram for the respective bus is provided by the  $V/V_0$  ratio at each node, indicating weak spots that must be addressed by power system operators. There are numerous indices available for this purpose, with the current one being chosen for simplicity.

$$VSI = \sum_{i=1}^T \left| 1 - \frac{V_i}{V_{i0}} \right| \quad (3)$$

$V_i$  is magnitude of voltage of  $i$ th PQ bus in the loaded state.  $V_{i0}$  is magnitude of voltage of  $i$ th PQ bus in the absence of load.  $T$  is losses on the transmission line (MW).

### E. Constraints of Control and State Variables

The output capability of the reactive power compensators (C), the tap changer settings for all transformers (T), and the terminal voltage for all generators (V) are all included in control variable constraints. The state variables are the voltage magnitude of all PQ buses (U) and the reactive power output from all generators (Q), that can be written as:

$$V_{Gk,min} < V_{Gk} < V_{Gk,max} \quad (4)$$

$$T_{i,min} < T_i < T_{i,max} \quad (5)$$

$$C_{j,min} < C_j < C_{j,max} \quad (6)$$

$$Q_{Gk,min} < Q_{Gk} < Q_{Gk,max} \quad (7)$$

$$V_{l,min} < U_l < V_{l,max} \quad (8)$$

$V_{Gk,min}$  ( $V_{Gk,max}$ ),  $T_{i,min}$  ( $T_{i,max}$ ),  $C_{j,min}$  ( $C_{j,max}$ ),  $Q_{Gk,min}$  ( $Q_{Gk,max}$ ) and  $V_{l,min}$  ( $V_{l,max}$ ) are lower (upper) boundary values of PV bus voltages, tap ratio of transformers, reactive power output of compensators, reactive power output of PV buses and voltage magnitude of load buses, respectively.

### F. Equation of System Power Flow Constraint

The primary goal of the power grid optimization performed in this study is to reduce active power losses. The total sum of active power losses can be calculated using equation, as in (1). Punctuate equations with commas or periods when they are part of a sentence, as in:

$$P_{Gi} - P_{Li} - V_i \sum_{j=1}^n V_j (G_{ij} \cos \delta_{ij} + B_{ij} \sin \delta_{ij}) = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$Q_{Gi} - Q_{Li} - V_i \sum_{j=1}^n V_j (G_{ij} \sin \delta_{ij} + B_{ij} \cos \delta_{ij}) = 0 \quad (10)$$

where,  $n$  is number of buses,  $P_{Gi}$  and  $Q_{Gi}$  are generator active and reactive power of the  $i$ th bus.  $P_{Li}$  and  $Q_{Li}$  are load active and reactive power of  $i$ th bus.  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  are voltage magnitudes of  $i$ th and  $j$ th buses (two neighboring bars).  $G_{ij}$ ,  $B_{ij}$ , and  $\delta_{ij}$  are conductance parameters and voltage angle between  $i$ th and  $j$ th buses, respectively.

III. METHODOLOGY

This work combines the use of synchronous condensers (SCs) with hybrid artificial PPSO to optimize power transmission. The existing system network is calculated using Newton Raphson method in the DigSILENT program to obtain initial data, and then sequentially optimized by installing synchronous condensers and applying PPSO to reduce system losses. The following are the stages of the process:

1. Run power flow with Newton Raphson method on the system model created in the DigSILENT application. This step is completed to obtain data losses in the existing system, which will serve as a guide for SCs placement. SCs will be distributed to a location with the highest loss rate.
2. Deployed the SC on the system model created in the DigSILENT application. The first step optimization is performed at this stage to reduce system losses by improving the system's reactive power.
3. Putting the PSO method into action using MATLAB. At this point, the system that has been optimized with SCs is re-optimized with the PSO method to obtain the lowest loss value, special arrangements for this algorithm are made based on research conducted in [15].
4. Similarly to step 3, we now put the PS algorithm into situation. At this point, the previously optimized system with SCs is re-optimized with the PS method to determine the value of losses, which is then compared to the value of the PSO results.
5. The PPSO method is used. At this point, the SC-optimized system is re-optimized with the PPSO artificial hybrid method to achieve maximum loss reduction.

The data used in this research is data obtained from the Indonesian's State-owned Electricity Company (PLN) [14]. The data to be collected are bus and load data, transmission line data, and generator capacity data of the Southern Sulawesi power transmission system. Fig. 2 shows the flowchart of the deployed optimization method.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The proposed method in this study combines the use of SCs with PPSO hybrid artificial optimization to improve system performance, comparing the initial loss value of Newton Raphson method with the results from using SCs, the PSO method, the PS method, and the PPSO, respectively.

Because the data in Table I show that the Southern Sulawesi grid has a high power losses, the SCs in this study are distributed within that grid. The results of system transmission losses when SCs are used are shown in Table II.




Fig. 2. Flowchart of the strategy using SCs incorporated with hybrid artificial algorithm PPSO

TABLE I. POWER TRANSMISSION LOSSES DATA FOR SOUTHERN SULAWESI POWER GRID USING SC

Active power losses (base condition)	56,446,400 (W)
Active power losses (after SCs installed)	54,840,000 (W)
Reduction ratio	2.85%

TABLE II. POWER TRANSMISSION LOSSES DATA FOR SOUTHERN SULAWESI POWER GRID USING PS ALGORITHM

Active power losses (before)	56,446,400 (W)
Active power losses (after)	53,288,500 (W)
Reduction ratio	5.59%
Elapsed optimization time	4,463.58 seconds

Once SCs have been successfully integrated into the system, it is time to optimize using intelligent algorithms. Derived from Fig. 3, the Table III depicts system performance after PS algorithm optimization. According to the data, the PS method reduced losses by 5.59% in a relatively short optimization time.

The PSO method, on the other hand, managed to achieve a loss reduction record of 6.18% despite taking longer than the PS method in terms of optimization time. Fig. 4 and Table IV displays the PSO optimization results.

TABLE III. POWER FLOW CALCULATION FOR SOUTHERN SULAWESI POWER SYSTEM USING NEWTHON RAPHSON METHOD

Grid Name	14 Generation		Inter Grid Flow		Load		Grid Losses	
	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar
Bantaeng	0.00	0.00	-202.85	-75.17	201.25	70.90	1.60	4.27
Kima-Daya Baru	0.00	0.00	-0.03	50.36	0.00	0.00	0.03	-50.36
PLTB	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Smelter Bungku	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sulsel	1,526.16	270.02	369.80	-73.49	1,112.55	207.79	43.81	5.59
Sulteng	13.97	1.00	-154.70	-4.63	163.53	18.08	5.14	-12.45
Sultra	149.00	36.80	-12.15	107.73	155.35	30.31	5.79	-101.24
Wotu-Masamba	0.00	0.00	-0.08	-4.81	0.00	0.00	0.08	4.81
Total	1,689.13	308.32			1,632.69	327.07	56.45	-149.38

\*Installed Capacity 1,964.46 MW      \*\*Spinning Reserve 160.67 MW




Fig. 3. Active power losses trend for Southern Sulawesi power system using PS algorithm




Fig. 4. Active power losses trend for Southern Sulawesi power system using PSO

TABLE IV. POWER TRANSMISSION LOSSES DATA FOR SOUTHERN SULAWESI POWER GRID PSO

Active power losses (before)	56,446,400 (W)
Active power losses (after)	52,955,500 (W)
Reduction ratio	6.18%
Elapsed optimization time	20,938.61 seconds

Fig. 5 and Table V provide the results of system optimization in the Southern Sulawesi power grid by combining the use of SCs with the PPSO method that show the proposed method generates fewer losses than the initial conditions using the Newton-Raphson method, as well as smaller losses than both the PS and PSO methods. Losses from the proposed method are reduced by 3,491,800 W, which is greater than the losses from the PS and PSO methods, which are reduced by 3,157,895 W and 3,490,851 W, respectively.




Fig. 5. Active power losses trend for Southern Sulawesi power transmission using PPSO algorithm

TABLE V. POWER TRANSMISSION LOSSES DATA FOR SOUTHERN SULAWESI POWER GRID PPSO

Active power losses (before)	56,446,400 (W)
Active power losses (after)	52,954,600 (W)
Reduction ratio	6.19%

Considering Fig. 5, 6, 7, and Tables V, VI, VII, the PPSO method is a significant improvement in the operational parameters. Active power losses fell from 56,446,400 to 52,954,600 (W). As shown in Table VI, for undervoltage substations, the total value of the system stability index has increased from 7.70 to 7.74, while the total value of the voltage deviation has decreased dramatically from -34,894.30 V to -3,059.47 V. In light of this, Table VII demonstrates that at substation overvoltage, the total system stability index value increases from 37.36 to 37.39 and the total voltage deviation value decreases slightly from 230,370.46 V to 222,923.37 V. Furthermore, all voltage deviations can be minimized to adhere to the percentages permitted by the Sulawesi grid code.

TABLE VI. VOLTAGE DEVIATION AND VOLTAGE STABILITY DATA FOR SOUTHERN SULAWESI POWER GRID USING PPSO

Substation Name	Voltage Stability Index		Voltage Deviation (V)			
	Before Optimization	After Optimization	Before Optimization	% <sup>a</sup>	After Optimization	% <sup>a</sup>
Bantaeng Smelter	0.94	0.95	-7,214.69	-4.81	-2,925.85	-1.95
Bantaeng Switching	0.95	0.95	-7,062.22	-4.71	-2,781.19	-1.85
Bulukumba	0.95	0.95	-6,812.08	-4.54	-2,647.06	-1.76
Bantaeng	0.95	0.96	-5,314.47	-3.54	-921.89	-0.61
Sinjai	0.97	0.97	-4,995.74	-3.33	-1,620.21	-1.08
Jeneponto	0.97	0.97	-2,247.72	-1.50	2,287.39	1.52
Bone	0.99	1.00	-1,212.90	-0.81	1,309.61	0.87
Panakkukang	0.98	0.98	-34.48	-0.02	4,239.73	2.83
Total	7.70	7.74	-34,894.30		-3,059.47	
Difference 0.04			Difference (V) 31,834.83			

<sup>a</sup> Range of allowable voltage variation of 275 kV and 150 kV according to Sulawesi's grid code between +10% and 10%.




Fig. 6. Voltage stability index for Southern Sulawesi power grid using PPSO algorithm




Fig. 7. Voltage deviation for Southern Sulawesi power grid using PPSO algorithm

TABLE VII. VOLTAGE DEVIATION AND VOLTAGE STABILITY DATA FOR SOUTHERN SULAWESI POWER SYSTEM USING PPSO

Substation Name	Voltage Stability Index		Voltage Deviation (V)			
	Before Optimization	After Optimization	Before Optimization	% <sup>b</sup>	After Optimization	% <sup>b</sup>
Tallo Lama	0.98	0.98	47.40	0.03	4,317.70	2.88
Bosowa	0.98	0.98	70.09	0.05	4,105.45	2.74
Tello	0.98	0.98	236.47	0.16	4,500.60	3.00
Pangkep	0.98	0.99	432.97	0.29	4,313.83	2.88
Kima	0.98	0.98	793.80	0.53	4,961.57	3.31
Lanna	0.98	0.99	821.74	0.55	5,132.80	3.42
Sungguminasa	0.98	0.99	828.93	0.55	5,140.19	3.43
Bolangi	0.98	0.99	914.66	0.61	5,164.31	3.44
Daya Baru	0.98	0.99	1,130.44	0.75	5,263.36	3.51
Bontoala	0.98	0.98	1,295.45	0.86	5,752.96	3.84
Tanjung Bunga	0.98	0.99	1,299.69	0.87	5,748.65	3.83
Maros	0.99	0.99	1,420.09	0.95	5,301.29	3.53
Tallasa	0.99	0.99	1,457.20	0.97	5,963.92	3.98
Baru	0.99	0.99	1,517.10	1.01	5,180.73	3.45
Punagaya	0.99	0.99	2,357.54	1.57	7,045.12	4.70
Jeneponto 2	0.99	0.99	2,399.91	1.60	7,073.04	4.72
Jeneponto	0.99	0.99	2,415.25	1.61	7,068.29	4.71
Pltu Jeneponto	0.99	0.99	2,464.72	1.64	7,088.04	4.73
Sengkang	1.03	1.03	2,728.98	1.82	4,069.60	2.71
Sidrap	1.02	1.02	2,886.18	1.92	5,182.44	3.45
Enrekang	1.04	1.04	3,904.48	2.60	4,667.45	3.11
PLTA Malea	1.02	1.02	5,016.42	3.34	4,687.71	3.13
Siwa	1.06	1.06	5,048.50	3.37	4,157.94	2.77
Polmas	1.01	1.01	5,172.02	3.45	6,864.75	4.58
Makale	1.05	1.05	5,435.17	3.62	4,624.81	3.08
Belopa	1.07	1.07	6,540.64	4.36	4,433.21	2.96
Majene	1.01	1.01	6,583.56	4.39	6,853.15	4.57
Mamuju	1.02	1.02	9,284.46	6.19	6,309.72	4.21
Mamuju Baru	1.02	1.02	10,843.27	7.23	6,785.33	4.52
PLTU Mamuju	1.02	1.02	10,886.36	7.26	6,719.85	4.48
Pasangkayu	1.04	1.04	14,771.39	9.85	7,005.90	4.67
Silae	1.04	1.04	14,774.71	9.85	6,973.35	4.65
Tallise	1.04	1.04	14,834.99	9.89	6,718.59	4.48
Sidera	1.04	1.04	14,867.86	9.91	6,757.55	4.51
Pasang Kayu	1.04	1.04	14,963.97	9.98	7,153.65	4.77
Latuppa	1.04	1.03	27,601.47	1.04	10,543.66	3.83
Wotu	1.04	1.03	32,322.58	11.75	13,292.86	4.83
Total	37.36	37.39	230,370.46		222,923.37	
		Difference 0.03	Difference (V) 7,447.09			

<sup>b</sup> Range of allowable voltage variation of 275 kV and 150 kV according to Sulawesi's grid code between +10% and -10%.

The power flow results of the Southern Sulawesi power system revealed that the proposed method outperformed the initial condition and the other two methods, PS and PSO. The introduction of SCs to the system reduced power losses by up to 1,606,400 W. The value of transmission losses can be reduced even further with the addition of PPSO optimization to 3,491,793 W.

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the simulation results presented in this paper for the Southern Sulawesi power system, it is possible to conclude that:

1. The introduction of SCs to the system improved system performance by reducing active power losses and enhance the voltage profile, ensuring power grid stability.
2. The PSO method produces a better loss value than the PS method, but the PS method outperforms in terms of optimization time efficiency.
3. The total power losses and voltage obtained by applying the proposed PPSO method to the SCs-optimized system in the Southern Sulawesi power system were lower than the PS and PSO methods. The total power losses obtained are 3,491,793 W.
4. The PPSO method significantly improves operational parameters, particularly in terms of increasing the voltage stability index and minimizing voltage deviation to comply with the grid code rules.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank State-owned Electricity Company of Indonesia, PLN UIP3B Sulawesi from Makassar, for sharing the practical DIGSILENT data in this research.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. B. Nappu, A. Arief, and M. G. Noor, "A specific modeling of ground protection system for wind power plants," *Energy Reports*, vol. 8, pp. 647–651, 2022.
- [2] A. Glaninger-Katschnig, "Contribution of synchronous condensers for the energy transition," *Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik*, vol. 130, no. 1, pp. 28–32, 2013.
- [3] A. S. Surya, M. Partahi Marbun, M. Marwah, K. G. H. Mangunkusumo, B. B. S. D. A. Harsono, and H. B. Tambunan, "Study of Synchronous Condenser Impact in Jawa-Madura-Bali System to Provide Ancillary Services," *ICITEE 2020 - Proc. 12th Int. Conf. Inf. Technol. Electr. Eng.*, pp. 234–238, 2020.
- [4] M. B. Nappu, A. Arief, and M. I. Bachtari, "Strategic Placement of Capacitor and DG for Voltage Improvement after Large Penetration of Renewable Energy Power Plant: An Indonesian Study," *7th Int. IEEE Conf. Renew. Energy Res. Appl. ICRERA 2018*, vol. 5, pp. 627–631, 2018.
- [5] M. S. Nielsen, "Allocation of Synchronous Condensers for Low Inertia Systems," no. July, p. 119, 2015.
- [6] S. Rusek, R. Goňo, IEEE Power & Energy Society. Czechoslovakia Section, Vysoká škola báňská--Technická univerzita Ostrava. Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Communication. Department of Electrical Power Engineering, and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers., "Comparative Review of Reactive Power Compensation Technologies," *Int Sci Con*, pp. 0–4, 2015.
- [7] F. O. Igbinoia, G. Fandi, Z. Muller, and J. Tlustý, "Reputation of the Synchronous Condenser Technology in Modern Power Grid," *2018 Int. Conf. Power Syst. Technol. POWERCON 2018 - Proc.*, no. 201805280000151, pp. 2108–2115, 2019.
- [8] M. Nedd, C. Booth, and K. Bell, "Potential solutions to the challenges of low inertia power systems with a case study concerning synchronous condensers," *2017 52nd Int. Univ. Power Eng. Conf. UPEC 2017*, vol. 2017-Janua, pp. 1–6, 2017.
- [9] H. T. Nguyen, G. Yang, A. H. Nielsen, and P. H. Jensen, "Frequency stability improvement of low inertia systems using synchronous condensers," *2016 IEEE Int. Conf. Smart Grid Commun. SmartGridComm 2016*, pp. 650–655, 2016.
- [10] T. Cui, Z. Hu, D. Chen, D. Wang, M. Xiang, and H. Guo, "Optimal Allocation of Synchronous Condensers in an Actual Weak Received Power Grid with an UHVDC System," *Asia-Pacific Power Energy Eng. Conf. APPEEC*, vol. 2020-Sept, pp. 1–5, 2020.
- [11] A. Stativa, M. Gavrilas, and V. Stahie, "Optimal tuning and placement of Power System Stabilizer using Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm," *EPE 2012 - Proc. 2012 Int. Conf. Expo. Electr. Power Eng.*, no. Epe, pp. 242–247, 2012.
- [12] W. Zhang and Y. Liu, "Reactive power optimization based on PSO in a practical power system," *2004 IEEE Power Eng. Soc. Gen. Meet.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 239–243, 2004.
- [13] Y. Alinejad-Beromi, M. Sedighzadeh, and M. Sadighi, "A particle swarm optimization for siting and sizing of distributed generation in distribution network to improve voltage profile and reduce THD and losses," *Proc. Univ. Power Eng. Conf.*, 2008.
- [14] P. UIP3B Sulawesi, "Rencana Operasi Tahun 2023," Makassar, 2022.
- [15] L. Xueyan and X. Zheng, "Swarm size and inertia weight selection of Particle Swarm Optimizer in system identification," *Proc. 2015 4th Int. Conf. Comput. Sci. New. Technol. ICCSNT 2015*, no. Iccsnt, pp. 1554–1556, 2016.

# Rishan paper

---

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

---

11%

SIMILARITY INDEX

6%

INTERNET SOURCES

11%

PUBLICATIONS

2%

STUDENT PAPERS

---

## PRIMARY SOURCES

---

1

[ouci.dntb.gov.ua](http://ouci.dntb.gov.ua)

Internet Source

1%

---

2

A. Stativa, M. Gavrilas, V. Stahie. "Optimal tuning and placement of Power System Stabilizer using Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm", 2012 International Conference and Exposition on Electrical and Power Engineering, 2012

Publication

1%

---

3

Abdel-Fattah Attia, Yusuf A. Al-Turki, Abdullah M. Abusorrah. "Optimal Power Flow Using Adapted Genetic Algorithm with Adjusting Population Size", Electric Power Components and Systems, 2012

Publication

1%

---

4

Muhamad Rusdi, Faizal Arya Samman, Rhiza S. Sadjad. "FPGA-Based Electronic Pulse Generator for Single-Phase DC/AC Inverter", 2019 International Conference on Information and Communications Technology (ICOIACT), 2019

Publication

1%

5

Niknam, T.. "Multi-objective stochastic distribution feeder reconfiguration problem considering hydrogen and thermal energy production by fuel cell power plants", Energy, 201206

Publication

1 %

6

Bayu Tri Utomo, Muhammad Bachtiar Nappu, Sri Mawar Said, Ardiaty Arief. "The Placement of the Transmission Lightning Arrester (TLA) at 150 kV Network using Fuzzy Logic", 2018 10th International Conference on Information Technology and Electrical Engineering (ICITEE), 2018

Publication

<1 %

7

Chandrasekaran Venkatesan, Raju Kannadasan, Dhanasekar Ravikumar, Vijayaraja Loganathan et al. "Re-Allocation of Distributed Generations Using Available Renewable Potential Based Multi-Criterion-Multi-Objective Hybrid Technique", Sustainability, 2021

Publication

<1 %

8

[www.sciencegate.app](http://www.sciencegate.app)

Internet Source

<1 %

9

Mosayeb Bornapour, Moshammad Reza Esmaili, Eskandar Gholipour. "Optimal Placement and Control of UPFC for

<1 %

Enhancement of Power System Performance Using Multi-objective  $\theta$ -CPCE Algorithm", Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions of Electrical Engineering, 2019

Publication

---

10

Rana.H.A. Zubo, Geev Mokryani, Haile-Selassie Rajamani, Jamshid Aghaei, Taher Niknam, Prashant Pillai. "Operation and planning of distribution networks with integration of renewable distributed generators considering uncertainties: A review", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2017

Publication

---

<1 %

11

Dhany Harmeidy Barus, Rinaldy Dalimi. "Determining Optimal Operating Reserves toward Wind Power Penetration in Indonesia based on Hybrid Artificial Intelligence", IEEE Access, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

12

[www.hrpub.org](http://www.hrpub.org)

Internet Source

---

<1 %

13

Furkan Ahmad, Luluwah Al-Fagih, Sikandar Abdul Qadir, Mohd Khalid. "EV Charging Station Placement using Nature-Inspired Optimisation Algorithms", 2023 International Conference on Power, Instrumentation, Energy and Control (PIECON), 2023

<1 %

14

[www.repositorio.poli.ufrj.br](http://www.repositorio.poli.ufrj.br)

Internet Source

<1 %

---

15

[www.researchgate.net](http://www.researchgate.net)

Internet Source

<1 %

---

16

Zhenshu Wang, Linchuan Li, Bo Li. "Based on Particle Swarm Optimization and Simulated Annealing Combined Algorithm for Reactive Power Optimization", 2009 Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference, 2009

Publication

<1 %

---

17

[ebuah.uah.es](http://ebuah.uah.es)

Internet Source

<1 %

---

18

[www.mdpi.com](http://www.mdpi.com)

Internet Source

<1 %

---

19

[zenodo.org](http://zenodo.org)

Internet Source

<1 %

---

20

D Widyaningsih, M B Nappu, A Arief. "Analysis of induced voltage impacts of the Southern Sulawesi power system with integration of industrial load and wind power plant", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020

Publication

<1 %

---

21

Dhany Harmeidy Barus, Rinaldy Dalimi. "The Integration of Wind Power Plants to Southern

<1 %

Sulawesi Power System", 2020 International Conference on Electrical, Communication, and Computer Engineering (ICECCE), 2020

Publication

22

P. Pravina, M. Ramesh Babu, A. Ramesh Kumar. "Solving Optimal Power Flow Problems Using Adaptive Quasi-Oppositional Differential Migrated Biogeography-Based Optimization", Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology, 2021

Publication

<1 %

23

[www.iotpe.com](http://www.iotpe.com)

Internet Source

<1 %

24

[www.praiseworthyprize.org](http://www.praiseworthyprize.org)

Internet Source

<1 %

25

A Arief, M B Nappu, A Sultan. "Frequency stability and under frequency load shedding of the Southern Sulawesi power system with integration of wind power plants", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020

Publication

<1 %

26

Ardiaty Arief, Muhammad Bachtiar Nappu, Sitti Marwah Rachman, Mustadir Darusman. "Optimal photovoltaic placement at the southern sulawesi power system for stability improvement", 2017 4th International Conference on Information Technology,

<1 %

# Computer, and Electrical Engineering (ICITACEE), 2017

Publication

27

Prince Hooda, Mukesh Kumar Saini. "Reactive power planning with the help of multi-objective genetic algorithm and flexible AC transmission systems devices", Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, 2023

Publication

<1 %

28

Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2015.

Publication

<1 %

29

Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, 2016.

Publication

<1 %

30

repozitorij.uni-lj.si

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On